DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 388 742 UD 030 669

AUTHOR McInerney, Dennis M.; And Others

TITLE Achievement Goal Theory and Indigenous Minority

School Motivation: The Importance of a Multip.e Goal

Perspective.

PUB DATE 94

NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the AARE Conference

(Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia, November 27-December 1, 1994). For related document, see UD

030 665.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Academic Achievement; American Indians; Cultural

Differences; Dropouts; Foreign Countries; Goal Orientation; *High School Students; *Indigenous Populations; Junior High School Students; Minority

Groups; *Navajo (Nation); Parents; Secondary

Education; Student Attitudes; *Student Motivation

IDENTIFIERS *Australia; *Goal Theory

ABSTRACT

Research at the classroom and school levels suggests that children from many indigenous cultural communities appear to be at a particular disadvantage with regard to academic achievement and school retention. This paper reports on a continuing study with Australian Aboriginal and Navajo Indian children investigating the relevance and applicability of goal theory to explaining indigenous motivation in school settings. In particular it examined task, ego, social solidarity, and extrinsic goal structures as a means of explaining and predicting minority motivation in school settings. Subjects, 496 Aboriginal students from grades 7 through 12 and 529 Navajo students from grades 9 through 12, completed an instrument developed for the study, the Inventory of School Motivation (ISM). Exploratory factor analyses of results offered considerable support for the Personal Investment Model of goal achievement proposed by M. L. Maehr (1984). The study also supported the predictive power of the ISM. For each group the combined set of culturally determined predictor scales (multiple goals) developed from the personal investment framework was found to be significantly related to expectations about continuing or leaving school and a range of demographic variables. (Contains 4 tables and 34 references.) (Author/SLD)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

Achievement goal theory and indigenous minority school motivation: The importance of a multiple goal perspective

Dennis M McInerney Valentina McInerney Lawrence Roche

University of Western Sydney, Macarthur

Paper presented at the 1994 AARE conference, 27th November to 1st December, University of Newcastle

Acknowledgements:

I wish to acknowledge the assistance of Karen Swisher, Head of the Center for Indian Education at Arizona State University, and James Arviso, Counsellor, Window Rock High School for their assistance with this project.

This research was partially funded through an internal research grant awarded by the University of Western Sydney, Macarthur.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Rassairch and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Dennis M chlner

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



2

Achievement goal theory and indigenous minority school motivation: The importance of a multiple goal perspective

Abstract

Research at the classroom and school level suggests that Children from many indigenous cultural communities appear to be at a particular disadvantage with regard to academic achievement and school retention. This paper reports on a continuing study with Australian Aboriginal and Navajo Indian children investigating the relevance and applicability of goal theory to explaining indigenous minority motivation in school settings. In particular it examines task, ego, social solidarity and extrinsic goal structures as a means of explaining and predicting minority motivation in school settings.



Research at the classroom and school level suggests that students perceive classrooms as stressing various goals. The goal theory of achievement motivation argues that the goals stressed by schools have dramatic consequences for whether children develop a sense of efficacy and a willingness to try hard and take on challenges, or whether they avoid challenging tasks, giving up when faced with failure (See Ames, 1984, 1992; Covington, 1992; Elliott & Dweck, 1988; Maehr, 1989; Maehr & Midgley, 1991).

Goals are cognitive representations of the different purposes students may have in different achievement situations, and are presumed to guide students' behaviour, cognition, and affect as they become involved in academic work (Ames, 1992; Dweck & Elliott, 1983; Pintrich, Marx & Boyle, 1993; Wentzel, 1991). Two goal structures have received considerable attention from educational researchers: mastery goals (also called learning goals), and performance goals (also called extrinsic goals). Central to a mastery goal is the belief that effort leads to success, and the focus of attention is on the intrinsic value of learning. With a mastery goal, individuals are oriented toward developing new skills, trying to understand their work, improving their level of competence, or achieving a sense of mastery. Mastery goals and achievement are "selfreferenced". In contrast, central to a performance goal is a focus on one's ability and sense of self-worth. Ability is shown by doing better than others, by surpassing norms, or by achieving success with little effort. Public recognition for doing better than others through grades, rewards and approval from others, is an important element of performance goal orientation. Performance goals and achievement are, therefore, "other referenced". Consequently, "self-worth" is determined by one's perception of ability to perform and compete successfully. Hence, when a student tries hard without being completely successful (in terms of the established norms) his or her sense of self-worth is threatened (Ames, 1992, Covington, 1992; Nicholls, 1989).

Implicit in both mastery and performance goals is a focus on individualism where priority is given to the goals of individuals. There is little emphasis on collectivism which reflects an emphasis on group goals and affiliation (Kagitcibasi & Berry, 1989; Triandis et al, 1993; Schwartz, 1990). There is, therefore, little attention paid to group orientations such as working to preserve in-group integrity, interdependence of members and harmonious relationships. Furthermore, the bipolar mastery versus performance continuum, suggests that these goals are mutually exclusive. Recent theorising and research, however, suggests that these are not dichotomous and that individuals may hold both mastery and performance goals, varying in salience, depending on the nature of the task, the school environment and the broader social and educational context of the institution (see e.g., Wentzel, 1991; Meece, 1991; Pintrich & Garcia, 1991). Such an approach fails to adequately consider other relevant and interacting goals. Schooling does not consist solely of learning academic material within a mastery or performance



framework. Students may hold multiple goals (such as affiliation, social concern), each of which may impact upon their level of motivation for particular tasks. These multiple goals may interact providing a complex framework of motivational determinants of action. For example, the social dimension of schooling (including the influence of parents, teachers and peers) may interact with both mastery and performance goals, and be extremely influential in affecting children's attitudes towards schooling in general and learning in particular (See Blumemfeld, 1992; McInerney, 1991, 1992b; McInerney & Sinclair, 1992; Pintrich & Schrauben, 1992).

The reduction of a study of the importance and motivational impact of goals to mastery versus performance is particularly unsatisfactory when children from minority cultural groups are concerned. Indeed, the focus on these two goal structures in much research precludes the generation of information regarding potentially more salient goals. One theoretical model which posits multiple goals for motivated action and which allows for the interacting effects of these goals is Maehr's Personal Investment Model (Maehr,1984; Maehr and Braskamp, 1986). In its broadest interpretation the model conceptualises motivated behavior as being determined by three global variables: personal incentives (which I will refer to as multiple goals), sense of self and facilitating conditions.

Multiple goals of behavior in a situation refers to the motivational foci of activity; importantly what a person defines as 'success' and 'failure' in a particular situation. Maehr proposes four broad goal systems which are presumed to be universal: task goals (such as experiencing adventure, novelty or working to understand or improve at something), ego goals (such as doing better than others or leading the group), social solidarity goals (such as pleasing others and being concerned for others welfare) and extrinsic rewards goals (such as working for a recognition or a prize or reward of some kind). (See also Schwartz, 1990 for an interesting discussion of similar universal dimensions of motivation). Each of these goal structures impact upon an individual's sense of competence, sense of autonomy and sense of purpose in learning and contribute to the motivational orientation of the individual.

The second component of the model is defined by Machr as sense of self, which refers to the more or less organized collections of perceptions, beliefs, and feelings related to who one is. Sense of self is presumed to be composed of a number of components such as sense of competence, sense of autonomy and sense of purpose, each contributing to the motivational orientation of the individual and interacting with the motivational goals outlined above. The third component, facilitating conditions refers to the behavioral alternatives that a person perceives to



be available and appropriate (in terms of sociocultural norms and external factors such as geographic location and socio-economic status that exist for the individual) in a given situation.

The focus in this paper is an examination of the relevance and applicability of this wider goal theory model to Australian Aboriginal and Navajo Indian students in school settings.

The research reported here therefore addressed the following questions:

- 1. Are the dimensions of the Maehr model (multiple goals and sense of self) relevant to the Australian Aboriginal and Navajo groups?
- 2. What are the most important goals of Australian Aboriginal and Navajo motivation derived from this model and how do these relate to extant literature?
- 3. How do these goals relate to important criteria of school motivation such as school confidence, perceived value of school, affect to school, desired occupation after leaving school, GPA, absenteeism and intention to complete schooling?

Facilitating conditions have been discussed in a wide range of publications dealing with Australian Aboriginal and Navajo dropouts and will not be considered in this paper (see McInerney, 1989a; McInerney & McInerney, 1990; Platero et al, 1986 and Swisher, 1991; Watts, 1981). This research deals with the multiple goals and sense of self components of the model.

Method

Instruments

Parental surveys

At the outset qualitative data were gathered on how Australian Aboriginal people conceptualize education and what they perceive as major issues in the underachievement of Aboriginal children in school settings. The data were obtained in three ways: personal interviews and group discussions with members of the Aboriginal community; written survey forms discributed to Aboriginal parents, and an examination of existing reports relating to the area of inquiry. In all, over one hundred Aboriginal parents were interviewed and 106 completed a written survey form.

For the Navajo group, consultations were held with members of the community, the Navajo Division of Education and Indian Education Specialists (it was not possible to interview Navajo parents at the time of the study, although this is recognised as an important element for future work).



A very important strategy was to include Aboriginal and Navajo research assistants, who not only facilitated the access of the researcher to community groups, but who also contributed to the research by their clarification of issues raised by the respondents.

The results of these surveys are reported in McInerney (1988, 1989b; McInerney & Swisher, in press). This qualitative research established the relevance of the constructs: personal incentives, sense of self and facilitating conditions for the Aboriginal and Navajo communities. Furthermore, the qualitative data obtained suggested the "content" of issues that were relevant to each group and therefore potentially useful at the local level.

Materials

The Inventory of School Motivation (ISM) was devised to reflect components of Maehr's Personal Investment model and to investigate the nature of school motivation in cross cultural settings (McInerney, 1988, McInerney & Sinclair, 1991, 1992; McInerney & Swisher, in press). The Inventory is broad enough to reflect the global dimensions of the model in a variety of cultural settings. Full details on the items are available in McInerney & Sinclair (1991, 1992). The samples

Four hundred and ninety six Aboriginal students were surveyed from Years 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 from 12 high schools in New South Wales broadly typical of the types of country and city schools that Aboriginal children attend (e.g., Redfern, Matraville, Dubbo, Nowra, Wellington). Five hundred and twenty nine Navajo students from Grade 9 through to Grade 12 were surveyed at Window Rock High School, a large high school situated on the Navajo Reservation.

Research strategy

The following research strategy was used:

- 1). Design an instrument based on the theoretical model which effectively reflected key goals determined in presurveying to be relevant to the groups being studied;
- 2). Factor analyse the instrument (ISM) <u>separately</u> for each group to determine the construct validity of the scales and to determine the reliability of the scales (in effect, to establish the nature of the global variables and the content of the scales relevant to each group);
- 3). Use the derived scales as predictor variables in multiple regression analyses and discriminant analyses against a range of educationally relevant criterion variables (school confidence, perceived value of school, affect to school, desired occupation (Navajo only), school achievement, and absenteeism);
- 4). Determine the most salient goals within each group separately, and to draw comparisons across groups.



Predictor variables

The predictor variables in the analyses were the scales drawn from the Inventory of School Motivation based on earlier factor analytic work. Table 1 lists the predictor variables.

Insert Table 1 about here

Criterion variables

Seven criterion variables were used for the multiple regression analyses. Four of these, school confidence, affect to school, intention to complete school and perceived value of school were constructed scales based upon a five point Likert scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Items comprising these scales, and each scale's reliability estimate (Cronbach's alpha) are presented in Table 2. The final three variables were demographic, viz, desired occupation after leaving school (Navajo students only), elicited from the students at the time of the survey and graded on a six point scale based upon the occupational prestige of the nominated occupation; Grade Point Average (GPA) (Navajo Students) and English and Maths achievement (Aboriginal, Year Ten students) and days absence for the enrolment period in which the survey was conducted (drawn from school records).

Insert Table 2 about here

Results and Discussion

From the psychometric perspective the exploratory factor analyses of the Inventory of School Motivation offered considerable empirical support to Maehr's Personal Investment Model (reported in McInerney & Sinclair, 1991, 1992 and McInerney & Swisher, in press). The second phase of the study demonstrated the predictive power of the ISM. For each group studied the combined set of culturally determined predictor scales (multiple goals) developed from the personal investment theoretical framework were found to be significantly related to expectations about continuing with or leaving school, and a range of other demographic variables (such as school attendance and school achievement). Furthermore, the combination of significant goals involved varied between cultural groups on particular criteria, enabling comparisons and



contrasts to be drawn between each group. Tables 3 and 4 report the results of the multiple regression studies conducted.

Insert Tables 3 and 4 about here

The multiple goals of the Inventory of School Motivation have been able to suggest some of the key correlates of successful and unsuccessful performance for the students included in the study. Clearly, the application of a bipolar model of goals such as task versus ego goals would not have permitted the more fine grained analyses provided by the ISM. Demonstrably important variables in predicting school students' retention, academic performance, valuing of school, and affect to school, are sense of self variables viz, the students' sense of competence, and sense of purpose, and the task goal. Group leadership and social concern also appear to be important. Factors which have been considered important by many as key determinants of Australian Aboriginal and Navajo children's poor achievement and dropping out of school, such as the supposed mismatch between the school's goals of competitiveness, individuality, and extrinsic rewards and the children's goals of affiliation, cooperation and non-competitiveness, were not supported by the findings. Affiliation and competitiveness were not significantly related to any of the criterion variables for the Navajo group. Competitiveness did predict school confidence for the Aboriginal group, but no other criterion, while affiliation was not related to any criterion variables.



References

- Ames, C. (1984). Competitive, cooperative, and individualistic goal structures: a cognitive-motivational analysis. In R. Ames, & C. Ames (Eds.), Research on Motivation in Education: Vol. 1. Student Motivation. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Ames, C. (1992). Classrooms: Goals, structures, and student motivation. <u>Journal of Educational</u> Psychology, 84, 261-271.
- Ames, R. E., & Ames, C. (Eds.). (1984). Research on Motivation in Education: Vol. 1. Student Motivation. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Blumenfeld, P. C. (1992). Classroom learning and motivation: Clarifying and expanding goal theory. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 84, 272-281.
- Covington, M. L. (1992). Making the Grade. A Self-Worth Perspective on Motivation and School Reform. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Dweck, C. S., & Elliott, E. S. (1983). Achievement motivation. In P. Mussen (Ed.), <u>Handbook of Child Psychology</u>. New York: Wiley.
- Elliott, E. S., & Dweck, C. S. (1988). Goals: An approach to motivation and achievement. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 54, 5-12.
- Kagitcibasi, C., & Berry, J. W. (1989). Cross-cultural psychology: Current research and trends.

 Annual Review of Psychology, 40, 493-531.
- McInerney, D. M. (1988). The psychological determinants of motivation of urban and rural non-traditional aboriginal students in school settings: a cross-cultural study. Unpublished doctoral dissertation presented to the University of Sydney, Australia.
- McInerney, D. M. (1990). The determinants of motivation for urban Aboriginal students: A cross-cultural analysis. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 21, 474-495.
- McInerney, D. M. (1991). Key determinants of motivation of urban and rural non-traditional Aboriginal students in school settings: Recommendations for educational change.

 <u>Australian Journal of Education</u>, 35. 154-174
- McInerney, D. M. (1992a, November). <u>Indigenous educational research: Can it be psychometric?</u>
 Paper presented at the AARE/NZARE conference "Educational research: Disciplue and diversity" Geelong, Victoria.
- McInerney, D. M. (1992b). Cross-cultural insights into school motivation and decision making. <u>Journal of Intercultural Studies</u>, 13, 53-74.
- McInerney, D. M. (in press). Goal theory and indigenous minority school motivation: Relevance and application. Invited chapter to appear in P. R. Pintrich & M. L. Maehr (Eds.), Advances in Motivation and Achievement. Vol. 9. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- McInerney, D. M., & Sinclair, K. E. (1992). Dimensions of school motivation. A cross-cultural validation study. <u>Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology</u>, 23, 389-406.
- McInerney, D. M., & Sinclair, K. E. (1991). Cross cultural model testing: Inventory of School Motivation. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 51, 123-133.
- McInerney, D. M., & Swisher, K. (in press). Exploring Navajo motivation in school settings. Journal of American Indian Education.
- Maehr, M. L. (1984). Meaning and motivation. Toward a theory of personal investment. In R. Ames and C. Ames (Eds.), Research on motivation in education: Vol.1. Student motivation. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Maehr, M. L. (1989). Thoughts about motivation. (1989). In C. Ames, & R. Ames (Eds.),

 Research on Motivation in Education: Vol. 3. Goals and Cognitions. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Maehr, M. L., and Braskamp, I. A. (1986). <u>The motivation factor: a theory of personal investment</u>. Lexington, Mass: Lexington.
- Maehr, M. L., & Midgley, C. (1991). Enhancing student motivation: a school-wide approach. Educational Psychologist, 26 (3 & 4), 399-427.



- Meece, J. L. (1991). The classroom context and students' motivational goals. In Maehr, M. L., & Pintrich, P. R. (Eds.), <u>Advances in Motivation and Achievement. A Research Annual.</u>
 Vol. 7. Greenwhich, CT: JAI Press.
- Nicholls, J. G. (1989). The Competitive Ethos and Democratic Education. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Pintrich, P. R., & Garcia, T. (1991). Student goal orientation and self-regulation in the college classroom. In Maehr, M. L., & Pintrich, P. R. (Eds.), Advances in Motivation and Achievement. A Research Annual. Vol. 7. Greenwhich, CΓ: JAI Press.
- Pintrich, P. R., Marx, R. W., & Boyle, R. (1993). Beyond "cold" conceptual change: The role of motivational beliefs and classroom contextual factors in the process of conceptual change. Review of Educational Research, 63, 167-199.
- Pintrich, P. R., & Schrauben, B. (1992). Students' motivational beliefs and their cognitive engagement in classroom academic tasks. In D. Schunk & J. Meece, (Eds.), <u>Student Perceptions in the Classroom</u>. Hillsdale, NJ: LEA.
- Platero, P. R., Brandt, E. A., Witherspoon, G., & Wong, P. (1986). Navajo students at risk. Final report for the Navajo area student dropout study. Window Rock, Arizona: Platero Paperwork.
- Schwartz, S. H. (1990). Individualism-Collectivism: Critique and proposed refinements. <u>Journal</u> of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 21, 139-157.
- Swisher, K. (1991). American Indian/Alaska Natives Dropout Study. National Education Association.
- Swisher, K. & Deyhle, D. (1989). The styles of learning are different, but the teaching is just the same: suggestions for teachers of American Indian Youth. <u>Journal of American Indian</u> Education, August, 1-14.
- Triandis, H. C. (1980). Value, attitudes and interpersonal behavior. In M. M. Page (Ed.),

 Nebraska symposium on motivation. Beliefs, attitudes and values: Vol. 27. Lincoln:
 University of Nebraska.
- Triandis, H. C. et al (1993). An etic-emic analysis of individualism and collectivism. <u>Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology</u>, 24, 366-383.
- Watts, B. H. (1981). Aboriginal Futures: Review of Research and Developments and Related Policies in the Education of Aborigines. Brisbane: Schonell Educational Research Centre.
- Wentzel, K. R. (1991). Social and academic goals at school: Motivation and achievement in context. In Maehr, M. L., & Pintrich, P. R. (Eds.), <u>Advances in Motivation and Achievement</u>. A Research Annual. Vol. 7. Greenwhich, CT: JAI Press.



PERSONAL INCENTIVES

Task

Task Involvement⁺

Striving for excellence

Ego

Competitiveness

Power/group leadership

Social Solidarity

Affiliation

Social Concern

Extrinsic Rewards

Recognition Token Rewards*

SENSE OF SELF

Sense of Purpose** Sense of Competence++

NOTE:

+ This component loaded on the striving for excellence dimension for the Aboriginal group.

++ The sense of competence items constructed three factors for the Aboriginal group: (+) sense of

competence; (-) sense of competence and school competence.

* Items related to this construct did not load in any systematic way and were deleted from the ISM for the Navajo group.

** The sense of purpose items constructed two factors for the Navajo group: sense of purpose for future career and sense of purpose for school.



Table 2 Items, means, standard deviations and reliability estimates (Cronbach's alphas) for criterion variables.

VARIABLE	alpha	M	sd
SCHOOL CONFIDENCE	.53 (.56)a	2.33 (2.73)	.65 (.75)
I am very confident at school I think I can do quite well at school I succeed at whatever I do at school	·		
AFFECT TO SCHOOL	.46 (.51)	2.11 (2.63)	.74 (.95)
I hate learning or studying or any type I like working at school			
INTENT TO COMPLETE SCHOOL	.71 (.88)	1.17 (2.67)	.37 (1.16)
I intend to complete High School School students should complete high school I'm the kind of person who would complete High School Personally I feel that I should complete High School			
PERCEIVED VALUE OF SCHOOL	.69 (.71)	1.5 (2.13)	.46 (.71)

If I do well at school I am more likely to get a good job I think that it is really important to do well at school If I work hard at school I'll probably do better than parents Some people need education for their jobs, but for most of us it is a waste of time Doing well at school is important to my future My friends tell me to leave school and go on welfare I don't care if I get a job or not

Note: Negative items were reverse scored a = Aboriginal descriptives are in brackets



<u>سر</u> آن

Table 3

Sets of standardised beta weights and multiple regression coefficients for each criterion variable for Navajo Indian Group (n = 529)

Criterion variables

Absence Intention								021 047 016 009 022 074 038 112* 065 145* 077 615** 056 151** 126* 080		047 016 009 074 074 112* 165 177 615** 151** 151** 151** 151** 154** 154**	
							_				
GPA	600	000	009 018 015	000 018 015 067	009 018 015 067 069	009 018 015 067 069	009 018 015 069 069 160	909 018 015 067 069 041 160 277	009 018 015 067 069 041 160	009 018 015 067 069 041 160 277	009 018 015 067 069 041 160 277 277
Desired	003	003 155**	003 155** 031	003 155** 031 012	003 155** 031 012 026	003 155** 031 012 026	003 155** 031 012 026 022 146*	003 155** 031 012 026 022 146* 167**	003 155** 031 012 026 022 146*	003 155** 031 012 026 022 146* 167**	003 155** 031 012 026 026 146* 167**
Affect	000	<u>002</u> 028	002 028 053	002 028 053 254**	002 028 053 254**	002 028 053 254** 018	002 028 053 254** 018 033	002 028 053 254** 018 033	002 028 053 254** 067 018 033	002 028 053 254** 018 033 200**	002 028 053 254** 067 018 033 200**
rerceived	040	040 002	040 002 025	040 002 025 091	040 002 025 091 047	040 002 025 091 047 355**	040 002 025 091 047 355**	040 002 025 091 047 355** 168**	040 002 025 091 047 355** 168**	040 002 025 091 047 355** 168**	040 002 025 091 047 355** 168**
School	037	037 151**	037 151** 004	037 151** 004 <u>057</u>	037 151** 004 <u>057</u> 023	037 151** 004 023 033	037 151** 004 027 023 089	037 151** 004 057 023 033 089	037 151** 004 023 033 089	037 151** 004 023 033 089	037 151** 004 027 023 089 2229**
		<u>d</u>	n ership	on dership cem	on dership 1 rcem on	ion adership n ncem ion purpose (F)	tion adership on oncern iion purpose (F) purpose (S)	tion adership on ancem tion purpose (F) purpose (S)	ition sadership on oncern tion f purpose (F) f purpose (S) e of competence	ition sadership on oncern tion f purpose (F) f purpose (S) e of competence	Competition Group leadership Affiliation Social concern Recognition Sense of purpose (F) Sense of purpose (S) (-) Sense of competence Age IAV7
ol occupation c 127* 038 083 043 027 211**	0.00 0.		004 025 053 031 015 022	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	se (F) 033 053 054 se (S) 089 168** 033 057 091 254** 012 022 057 091 254** 012 067 038 se (F) 033 355** 018 052 041 077 se (S) 089 168** 033 146* 160** 056	se (F) 033 054 053 054 052 052 052 052 052 052 052 052 052 052 052 052 053 052 067 065 067 065 067 065 065 065 067 065 065 067 065<	se (F) 033 054 053 053 015 012 se (F) 033 355** 067 067 063 se (S) 089 168** 060 065 se (S) 089 168** 077 se (S) 089 168** 077 se (S) 089 168** 056	se (F) 033 054 053 053 052 se (S) 089 168** 067 067 063 mpetence 229** 054 077	se (F) 033 054 052 052 057 091 254** 012 067 038 058 047 067 066 069 065 se (F) 033 355** 018 077 se (S) 089 168** 056 056 mpetence 229** 054 200** 167** 126* se (S) 089 168** 200** 167** 126*

Note: Beta weights are presented without decimal points. Negative beta weights are underlined. Pesults for the intention scale are presented for the backwards elimination of variables when all variables are entered into the equation including interaction terms for an age effect on this variable. * p<.05 **p<.01

Sets of standardised beta weights and multiple regression coefficients for each criterion variable for the Aboriginal group (n=496) Table 4

Criterion variables

	4*	<u>018</u>	4 1		4	्र	6	2**	3	4	7**	735**	540**
Intention	10	10	(S)	8	00	[0]	01	99	01	03	12	73	54
Absence	142	102	190	048	046	970	990	850	800	034	115*	241*	*850
English achievement ^a	900	186	221	600	469**	163	047	085	078	130	106	552**	305**
Maths achievement ^a	980	600	035	990	221	000	054	242	900	166	084	370	137
Affect to school	412**	980	049	021	017	018	015	212**	000	034	253**	593**	352**
Perceived	628**	077	*580	890	017	* 560	050	188**	117**	034	064	715**	511**
School	305**	131**	100*	057	017	190	007	133**	238**	157**	064	662**	438**
Predictor Sch • variables con	Striving for excellence	Competition	Group Leadership	Affiliation	Social Concern	Recognition	Token Rewards	Sense of Purpose (S)	(+) Sense of Competence	(·) Sense of Competence	School Competence	MULT R	R Square

Note: Beta weights are presented without decimal points. Negative beta weights are underlined.

^{*}p<.05 **p<.01

^a Academic achievement in maths and English were only available for the Year Ten students. Owing to the reduced n=85 and the skewed distribution towards poorer academic performance, these results should be interpreted with caution.